

Regular SRFB

Funding Priority	Project Name	Total SARM Score	SARM Rank	TAG Rank	CC Matrix Score	Final Project Rank	Notes to justify shifts	Funding Request	Cumulative Funding Requests
High Priority Fund	Lower Naches River Floodplain Property Acquisition	56	1	1	4	1		\$81,625	\$81,625
High Priority Fund	Rattlesnake Creek Streamflow Phase 2A (Field Fit)	29	2	2	3	2		\$349,888	\$431,513
High Priority Fund	Upper Toppenish Wood Supplementation Phase II	26	3	3	5	3		\$250,000	\$681,513
High Priority Fund	Iron Creek Wood Replenishment	17	4	4	5	4		\$135,921	\$817,434
Priority Fund	Ahtanum Creek RM 9-26 Assessment	10	6	6	3	5	Project bumped up based on higher CC score and the potential long-term benefits in a larger and potentially more productive stream.	\$150,000	\$967,434
Priority Fund	Salmon Creek Fish Passage Preliminary Design	14	5	5	2	6		\$379,943	\$1,347,377
							TAG recommended deferral. TAG would like to see A) a cattle management plan with a firm long-term commitment that no cattle access will be allowed in the restored riparian area and B) a redesign to reduce the inlet elevation so flow could access the site at lower cfs to reduce stranding risk. C) TAG noted the project would also benefit from removing all fish passage barriers on the lower half of the property.		
							CC agreed with C) above. CC decided to condition funding (instead of deferring) with the following conditions: 1. Provide a signed cattle management plan, with a firm long-term commitment that no cattle access will be allowed in the restored riparian area, using funds outside this grant before other work; and 2. Redesign the side channel reconnection to reduce the inlet elevation so flow could access the site at lower cfs to reduce stranding risk, and have that redesign be reviewed and approved by TAG before funding is released for implementation.		
Fund	Yakima River RM 152 Side Channel Implementation	5	7	DEFER	-1	7		\$785,369	\$2,132,746

Requested Funding \$2,132,746
Funding Available \$ 1,136,533

Partial funding: \$ 230,940

Riparian

Funding Priority	Project Name	Total SARM Score	SARM Rank	TAG Rank	CC Matrix Score	Final Project Rank	Notes to justify shifts	Funding Request	Cumulative Funding Requests
High Priority Fund	Lower Kittitas Floodplain Restoration Early Action (Cost Increase)	20	1	1	6	1		\$134,061	\$134,061
							CC asks that further RCO review of the scope change be put on hold until designs are available for the bank protection project; encourages sponsor to engage with NRCS and landowners to advocate for bank protection solutions that best support riparian restoration and natural processes; and asks that the sponsor return to the TAG/CC for LE approval of a revised scope change/cost increase once designs are available (at an off-season meeting or via email), prior to RCO making a final decision.		
Fund	Teanaway RM 5 Reforestation (Cost Increase)	7	2	2	1	-		-	-

Requested Funding \$134,061
Funding Available \$195,902

Targeted Investments

Funding Priority	Project Name	Total SARM Score	SARM Rank	TAG Rank	CC Matrix Score	Final Project Rank	Notes to justify shifts	Funding Request
High Priority Fund	Lower Kittitas Yakima River Floodplain Reconnection	73	1	1	9	1		\$7,000,000
High Priority Fund	Ahtanum Village Restoration (Resubmission)	26	2	2	4	2		\$1,986,000

Requested Funding \$8,986,000

Green = High Priority Fund

Yellow = Priority Fund

Orange = Fund

Blue = Defer

Red = Do Not Fund

Blue = Funded

Purple = Not funded or partially funded

High Priority Fund/Priority Fund/Fund: Generally defined by matrix scoring to help visualize grouping of projects and assist in final ranking

Defer: the project a) has issues that the project sponsor should address first, or b) should be delayed for maximum biological benefit

Do Not Fund: serious concerns with the projects goals/objectives/methods, or bad match for SRFB. Indicates deep reservations for SRFB funding in the future